



SMALL GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION

2013-2015
*(for applications to be submitted
after July 1, 2014)*

Application Processing Information (to be completed by the Small Grant Team Contact)

Application #: _____

Date Received: _____

Date Acted On: _____

_____ Recommended _____ Denied

SGT Contact
Signature: _____

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

OWEB Funds Requested \$7,500.00

Round to nearest dollar

Total Project Cost \$ 10,075.00

Round to nearest dollar

Name of Project (five words or fewer) Glavey Ranch Juniper Removal

Project Location (if more than one, include location/landowner information on each map.)

This project occurs at (check one): A single site _____ Multiple sites

Willow Creek (17070104)

Watershed(s)

Morrow

County or counties

T4S, R27E s35-36; T5S, R27E s2

Township, Range, Section(s)

(e.g., T1N, R5E, S12)

119.430, 45.182

*Longitude, Latitude (e.g., -123.789, 45.613)
(Required for federal/state reporting)*

River or Creek Name (if applicable)

1707010403

*Subbasin(s) – Please note the 10-digit hydrological unit code,
previously 5th Field HUC*

River Mile (if applicable)

1. Have you previously submitted an application to OWEB, either through the regular or small grant program, for this project, or one similar to it on the same property? Yes Grant #XXXXXXXX _____ No

If yes, explain same project was submitted and tabled by the committee.

2. Does this application propose a grant for a property in which OWEB previously invested funds for purchase of fee title or a conservation easement; or is OWEB currently considering an acquisition grant for this property?

_____ Yes Grant # _____ No

If yes, explain _____

II. CONTACT INFORMATION

Applicant Org.: Morrow SWCD		Contact: Janet Greenup
Mailing Address: PO Box 127 Heppner, OR		Zip: 97836
Phone: 541-676-5452	Email: swcdmanager@centurytel.net	

Landowner(s): Mike Glavey		
Landowner Address: PO Box 3363 Wilsonville, OR		Zip: 97070
Phone: 503-682-7797	Email:	

Project Manager for the Grantee: Kevin Payne		
Project Manager Address: PO Box 127 Heppner, OR		Zip: 97836
Phone: 541-676-5452	Email: kevin.payne@or.nacdnet.net	

Fiscal Agent Org.: Morrow SWCD		Contact: Janet Greenup
Fiscal Agent Address: PO Box 127 Heppner, OR		Zip: 97836
Phone: 541-676-5452	Email: swcdmanager@centurytel.net	

Technical Contact: Kevin Payne		
Phone: 541-676-5452	Email: kevin.payne@or.nacdnet.net	

III. PROJECT INFORMATION

Priority Watershed Concern: the project will address—Check One Only:

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Instream Process & Function | <input type="checkbox"/> Riparian Process & Function | <input type="checkbox"/> Urban Impact Reduction |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Process & Function | <input type="checkbox"/> Road Impact Reduction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upland Process & Function |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Passage | <input type="checkbox"/> Water Quantity & Quality/ Irrigation Efficiency | |

Small Grant Team Priority Project Type(s) addressed by the project (see application instructions):

Upland process and function

1-a. Is the project consistent with the local watershed assessment or action plan?

- Yes Name primary assessment/plan Umatilla/Willow Subbasin Plan
 No
 N/A—The watershed does not yet have an assessment or action plan

1-b. Is the project consistent with the local Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Plan?

- Yes No

1-c. Is the project consistent with any developed plan for the property (e.g., local conservation or stewardship plans, etc.)? Yes No

If yes, name the plan(s): _____

2. Describe the current watershed PROBLEM(s) you are seeking to address.

The Glavey Ranch is experiencing Juniper encroachment. They have treated nearly 250 AC through various NRCS programs and would love to continue with the help of an OWEB grant. The Juniper is in Phase I, which is a good thing for treatment (please see attached photo). The trees are on the small side and are easier to remove. Juniper are notorious for robbing moisture and sunlight from native understory. The reduced health and vigor of these plants hurts the watershed by reducing their ability to capture, store and release water. From years of fire suppression, and the Juniper's extremely competitive nature, they are starting to take over in certain areas of the ranch. With a loss of native understory vegetation, one is often left with areas of bare soil or annual grasses/weeds. Native bunch grasses and forbs are still present on the property, so with Juniper removed, they would have an opportunity to re-establish the treatment sites.

3. Describe the SOLUTION(s) you are proposing to address the current problem(s). attach a site map, color photo(s), and (if applicable) preliminary project drawings or designs

50 AC of Juniper will be removed by hand cutting, or by heavy equipment where needed. Although this proposal is for 50 AC, an area of 100 AC is identified on the map and Mike Glavey is hoping to stretch the project as far as he can get with funds and labor while working in the area. All practices will be completed to NRCS standards and specifications. Mike is ready for post-project treatments and has even discussed controlled burns on the property with NRCS. His previous projects through EQIP/CCPI have him fairly well versed in Juniper removal.

4. Technical Guidance Source (check at least one and identify the Practice Code, or page and paragraph).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NRCS Field Office Technical Guide Practice Code <u>Brush Management (314)</u>	____ Guide to Placing Large Wood in Streams Page # / Para ____
____ Oregon Road/Stream Crossing Restoration Guide Page # / Para ____	____ Forest Practices Tech Note #4 Page # / Para ____
____ Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Guidebook Page # / Para ____	____ Forest Practices Tech Note #5 Page # / Para ____
____ Urban Subwatershed Restoration Manual Page # / Para ____	____ Tribal Natural Resource Plans and Water Plans (attach the relevant page or pages)

5. Maintenance and Post-Implementation Monitoring

a) **Project maintenance is the responsibility of the landowner. What aspects of the project will be maintained?** (See application instructions.)

Who will maintain?	What will be maintained?	How will it be maintained?	# of years # of times/year
Landowner	Brush management area	Loppers/Fire	15 years/twice a year

b) **Post-implementation monitoring including photo points and visual inspection is required for small grants (Year-Two Status Report). What (if any) additional aspects of the project will be monitored post-implementation?** (See application instructions)

Who will monitor?	What will be monitored?	Cite monitoring protocols	# of years # of times/year
Morrow SWCD	Juniper eradication	Juniper presence/absence	As needed & Once at Yr. 2

6. Who will be responsible for writing the Year-Two Status Report?

Name: Kevin Payne	Org.: Morrow SWCD
Mailing Address: PO Box 127 Heppner, OR	Zip: 97836
Phone: 541-676-5452	Email: kevin.payne@or.nacdnet.net

7. Have the required permits been obtained for the project? ____ Yes ____ No Not Required

If yes, what permits have been issued? (Attach copies) ____

If no, what permits must be obtained and by when? ____

8. Is this project required as a condition of a local, state, or federal permit, order, or enforcement action (e.g., a manure storage and management project required by ODA permit)?

____ Yes No

9. Project Partners. Show all anticipated funding sources, and indicate the dollar value for cash or in-kind contributions. Be sure to provide a dollar value for each funding source. If the funding source is providing in-kind contributions, briefly describe the nature of the contribution in the Funding Source Column. In the Amount/Value Column, provide a total dollar amount or value for each funding source.

Funding Source Name the partner and contribution	Cash	In-Kind	Amount/ Value
OWEB: brush management, admin. & reporting	x		7,500.00
Landowner: brush management and county land-use form		x	2,375.00
Morrow SWCD: project management		x	\$200.00
Total Estimated Funds (add all amounts in the far right column)			\$10,075.00

The total should equal the total cost of the project on page 1

Project Budget (Word)—Itemize projected costs for each of the following “Expense Categories” that apply to your project. A minimum of 25% match—cost share—in-kind/cash (column 4) is required. See application instructions and additional team conditions for further guidance.

PLEASE NOTE: Budgets may be submitted in either Word or Excel (form on website) formats.
http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/GRANTS/smgrant_forms.shtml

Fill in the amounts, rounded to the nearest dollar, please do not include cents.

Expense Category	No. of Units	Unit Cost	Cost Share In-Kind/ Cash (Match)	OWEB Funds	Description-- <i>what will be purchased or done and who will provide the item/perform the work</i>
SALARIES, WAGES AND BENEFITS (Includes time devoted to this project only by applicant employees for whom payroll taxes are paid)					
Project Management	8	\$25.00	\$200.00	\$0	Morrow SWCD
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
CATEGORY SUBTOTAL			\$200.00	\$0	
CONTRACTED SERVICES (Work crews, volunteer labor, establishing plants, equipment operation, etc.)					
Juniper Removal per AC	50 AC	\$190.00	\$2,325.00	\$7,000.00	Maben Logging
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
CATEGORY SUBTOTAL			\$2,325.00	\$7,000.00	
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES (Seed, fencing, pipes, gravel, logs, plants, etc.)					
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
CATEGORY SUBTOTAL			\$0	\$0	
TRAVEL (For current rates go to: http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/Pages/forms_linked.aspx# Forms and Guidance used for all grants regardless of funding date-Travel Rates					
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
CATEGORY SUBTOTAL			\$0	\$0	
OTHER (Land use signature costs, project permit costs, small equipment repair, commercial equipment rental)					
Land-use Form	1	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$0	Morrow County Planning Form
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
CATEGORY SUBTOTAL			\$50.00	\$0	
GRANT ADMIN. Not to exceed 15% of Category Totals (7) Funds. Compute by multiplying by 0.15 or less. See the January 2014 Budget Categories Definitions at http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/forms/2014-01budget_category_defs.pdf for eligible costs. Indicate which billing method will be used for this grant by checking one appropriate box.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> direct cost billing		\$0	\$0	\$300.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> direct cost allocation		\$0	\$0	\$0	
<input type="checkbox"/> indirect costs (if checked, attach copy of Federal Indirect Cost Negotiation Agreement)		\$0	\$0	\$0	
POST-GRANT					
YEAR-2 STATUS/POST IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (optional)			\$0	\$200.00	(Not to exceed \$200)
PLANT ESTABLISHMENT(optional)			\$0	\$0	(Not to exceed \$1,000)
CATEGORY SUBTOTAL			\$0	\$200.00	
PROJECT TOTALS			\$2,575.00	\$7,500.00	(Not to exceed \$10,000 in OWEB funds)

We, the undersigned, attest that to the best of our knowledge the information contained in this application is true, that the proposed project is not required by a state or federal agency directive, and that the project will be completed within 24 months from the date of the team funding recommendation of the application. We understand that the submitted application is a matter of public record.

Also, should funding for this project be awarded we understand:

- 1) We may not incur any project expenses until all designated signatories have signed an OWEB grant agreement,
- 2) we will be required to provide proper accounting of project expenses, and
- 3) we will be required to provide necessary and normal maintenance to sustain the value of the project once it is completed.

By their signatures, the landowner(s) attest that they have no plans to sell their property as of the date of this application, and they agree to provide, upon prior request and at a mutually acceptable time, site access to the applicant or representatives of OWEB for a period up to two years following project completion to allow project work to be implemented, monitored, and maintained.

_____ Applicant	_____ Date
_____ Landowner	_____ Date
_____ Fiscal Agent	_____ Date

<p>ATTACHMENT CHECKLIST</p> <p>___ Project location map (Required)</p> <p>___ Color photographs of site (Required)</p> <p>___ Site drawings/diagrams (if applicable)</p> <p>___ Juniper Checklist (if applicable)</p> <p>___ Cooperative agreement, if 2 or more landowners (Optional)</p> <p>May be submitted in lieu of ALL Landowner signatures on Application</p> <p><u>ALL Landowners must sign the Grant Agreement</u></p> <p>___ Restoration Metrics form (Required)</p> <p>Other materials (as required by team)</p> <p>OPTIONAL FORMS AT APPLICATION STAGE <u>(Required at the time of payment request, see instructions)</u></p> <p>___ Irrigation Efficiency</p> <p>___ Culvert/Stream Crossing</p> <p>___ Secured Match</p> <p>___ Land Use</p>
--



RESTORATION METRICS FORM

OWEB receives a portion of its funds from the federal government and is required to report how its grantees have used both federal and state funds. The information you provide in the following form will be used for federal and state reporting purposes.

Please complete all portions of the form below as they apply to your project and submit all pages (do not exclude any pages). Please provide specific values, do not enter values like "2-3" or "<100". Enter your best approximation of what the project will accomplish.

If you have any questions, please contact Cecilia Noyes, OWEB Performance Analyst/Reporting Specialist at 503-986-0204 or cecilia.noyes@state.or.us.

Section 1 - Project Overview

Answer all five questions below, even if you have answered a similar question in a previous section in the grant application.

1. Land Use Setting: CHECK ONE BOX ONLY.

<input type="checkbox"/> Urban/Suburban/Exurban (Projects located within urban growth boundaries or rural residential areas)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rural (Projects located outside urban growth boundaries or rural residential areas.)
--	--

2. Dominant Watershed Setting: CHECK ONE BOX ONLY. Example: Your project involves managing erosion in the upland area with some erosion control extended to the riparian area. Because most of the work is to occur in the upland area, you would check only the Upland box below.

<input type="checkbox"/> Estuary (where freshwater meets and mixes with saltwater of ocean tides.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Riparian (adjacent to a water body, within the active floodplain.)
<input type="checkbox"/> Instream (below the ordinary high-water mark or within the active channel — includes fish passage.)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upland (above the floodplain.)
<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland (areas inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Groundwater (Projects that recharge groundwater or primarily affect the subsurface water table.)

3. Total Acres Treated: 50 Total Stream Miles Treated: _____

(do not include upstream stream miles made accessible to fish with passage improvements)

4. Project Monitoring: All OWEB funded restoration projects require post-implementation status reporting including photo point monitoring. Please indicate below: 1) the location of the monitoring activities relative to the project, including photo point locations, 2) whether effectiveness monitoring is planned, and 3) whether additional monitoring will be conducted for this project.

4.1) Identify the location for the planned monitoring activities relative to the restoration project location. Check as many boxes as apply.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onsite	<input type="checkbox"/> Downstream	<input type="checkbox"/> Upstream	<input type="checkbox"/> Upslope
--	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------

4.2) Effectiveness monitoring will be conducted for this project. Please note that effectiveness monitoring cannot be funded with OWEB Small Grant Funds. To review effectiveness monitoring and post-implementation status reporting definitions click on the link to the OWEB Web site below.

http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/MONITOR/effective_monitoring.shtml

4.3) Will this project conduct monitoring activities **beyond the required post-implementation status reporting and photo point monitoring**?

Yes No If you answer yes, select the monitoring activities below, if you answer no proceed to Section 2.

Check all proposed monitoring activities

<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Fish presence/absence/abundance/distribution survey(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Spawning surveys
<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Fish presence/absence/abundance/distribution survey(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Upland vegetation (Presence/Absence)
<input type="checkbox"/> Instream Habitat surveys	<input type="checkbox"/> Water quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Macroinvertebrates	<input type="checkbox"/> Water quantity
<input type="checkbox"/> Noxious weed (Presence/Absence)	<input type="checkbox"/> Photo Points
<input type="checkbox"/> Riparian vegetation (Presence/Absence)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____

Section 2 - Project Activities

Provide values for each Project Activity applicable to your application. **Leave blank any Project Activity or metric line that is not appropriate to your application.** All data entered in this form should be what you **plan** to do with the project. Data about **completed** projects will be reported at the end of the project to the Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory (OWRI). For each activity type where you enter metrics, **estimate** the percentage of the total cost of the project (OWEB and all other funding sources, shown in **III. 9.** of this application) that applies to the activity. The sum of all of the activity cost percentages should equal 100%. Please distribute all administrative, project management and other general project costs among the various project activities when estimating percentages.

Example: A project will remove a fish passage barrier, place large boulders instream, and plant a riparian buffer. You would enter the appropriate metrics into the Fish Passage, Instream Habitat, and Riparian Habitat activity sections of this form. Then, estimate the percentage of the total cost of the project for each activity. For instance: 20% towards Fish Passage activities, 25% towards Instream Habitat activities, and 55% towards Riparian Habitat activities.

Fish Screening Projects: Projects that result in the installation or improvement of screening systems that prevent fish from passing into areas that do not support fish survival, for example into irrigation diversion channels.

Note: OWEB funds cannot be used for fish screening projects

____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to fish screening activities

New Fish Screens Installed

____ # Estimate the number of new screens installed (do not count diversions where existing screens are replaced)

____ cfs Estimate the cubic feet per second of flow influenced by new screen(s) installed (to nearest 0.01 cfs)

Existing Screens Replaced, repaired or modified

____ # Estimate the number of existing screens replaced, repaired or modified

____ cfs Estimate the cubic feet per second of flow influenced by existing screen(s) screens (to nearest 0.01 cfs)

Fish Passage Improvement: *Projects that improve fish migration by addressing a migration barrier problem.*

Complete sections A-E as they apply to the proposed project. For projects that improve fish passage at road crossings complete both sections A (define the problem) and B (define the treatment). Non-road crossing improvements are reported in sections C and D. Section E should be completed for all fish passage improvement projects. Refer to the application instructions for additional information and examples.

A. Road Crossings – Define Existing Fish Passage Problem

1. Culverts hindering fish passage	_____ # crossings
2. Bridges hindering fish passage	_____ # crossings
3. Fords hindering fish passage	_____ # crossings

B. Road Crossings – Define the Fish Passage Improvements to be implemented by this project

1. Culverts installed/improved - Improvements include installing baffles inside culverts or installing/improving engineered bypasses (e.g. weirs) directly below a culvert outlet to improve passage.	_____ # crossings	_____ str. mi with improved access*
2. Bridges installed/improved - Improvements include installing/improving engineered bypasses (e.g. weirs) directly below a bridge crossing to improve passage.	_____ # crossings	_____ str. mi with improved access*
3. Fords installed/improved	_____ # crossings	_____ str. mi with improved access*
4. Road Crossings removed and <u>not</u> replaced	_____ # crossings	_____ str. mi with improved access*

*Estimate stream miles in the main channel and tributaries made more accessible above the crossing(s) (to nearest 0.01 mile). If a barrier exists upstream, report the length made accessible up to that next upstream barrier.

C. Fish Passage Barriers – Other than Road Crossings

1. Type(s) of barriers to be treated/removed to improve fish passage.	<input type="checkbox"/> Diversion Dam <input type="checkbox"/> Push-up Dam <input type="checkbox"/> Wood or Concrete Dam <input type="checkbox"/> Weir (not associated with a road crossing) <input type="checkbox"/> Logs <input type="checkbox"/> Debris <input type="checkbox"/> Boulder/Rock Barrier (not weirs) <input type="checkbox"/> Landslide Other (explain) _____
---	--

D. Fish Ladders or Engineered Bypasses (not associated with Road Crossings)

1. Fish ladders will be installed/improved	_____ # fish ladders to be installed/improved
2. Engineered bypasses will be installed/improved. This includes weirs, rock boulder step pools, and chutes constructed/roughened in bed rock. Do not count engineered bypasses located at a road crossing to improve passage at the crossing. These types of improvements should be identified above in section B as a Road Crossing Fish Passage Improvement.	_____ # engineered bypasses to be installed/improved

E. Fish Passage Summary Metrics

1. _____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to fish passage improvements
2. _____ mi Estimate the total stream miles that will be made more accessible in the main channel and tributaries above the project (to nearest 0.01 mile). This metric summarizes the stream miles for all of the proposed passage improvements (defined above in Sections A-D). If a barrier exists upstream of the project, report the length made accessible up to that next upstream barrier.
3. _____ # Estimate the total number of barriers (this includes road crossings, diversion dams, push up dams, wood or concrete dams, weirs, etc.) to be removed or altered to improve passage.

Instream Flow: *Projects that maintain and/or increase the instream flow of water.* Irrigation improvements that are primarily designed to improve water quality should be reported under Upland – Agriculture Management Activities. Check all proposed activities.

<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation practice improved to increase instream flows (e.g. install diversion headgate, replace open ditches with pipes)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water flow gauges installed to measure water use
<input type="checkbox"/> This project will dedicate instream flow.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____

- _____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to instream flow activities
- _____ mi. Estimate the miles of stream where increased flow is the result of decreased/eliminated water withdrawals
- _____ cfs Estimate the increase in flow of water in the stream as a result of conservation effort (cubic feet per second)
- _____ mm/dd/yyyy Initial start date of irrigation practice improvement
- _____ mm/dd/yyyy Final end date of irrigation practice improvement (if improvement is permanent enter 12/31/9999)

Instream Habitat: *Projects that are designed to improve instream habitat conditions.*

Check all proposed activities.

<input type="checkbox"/> Channel reconfiguration and connectivity (e.g., creating instream pools, meanders, improving floodplain connectivity, off-channel habitat, removal or alteration of levee or berm, removal of sediment)	<input type="checkbox"/> Spawning gravel placement
<input type="checkbox"/> Channel structure - large wood placement	<input type="checkbox"/> Plant Removal/control (instream) List scientific names of plants _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Channel structure - boulder placement	<input type="checkbox"/> Carcass or nutrient placement: <input type="checkbox"/> salmonid carcass; <input type="checkbox"/> fish meal brick; <input type="checkbox"/> other nutrient
<input type="checkbox"/> Channel structure placement (other than large wood or boulder placements), e.g., engineered structures or deflectors, barbs, weirs, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Streambank stabilization (includes bio-engineering)	

- _____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to instream habitat activities
- _____ mi. Estimate the miles of stream to be treated with instream habitat treatments (to nearest 0.01 mile)
- _____ % Estimate the percentage of instream activity costs for carcass or nutrient placements. If you do not select carcass/nutrient placements as an instream activity, leave this value blank. *Example: Your project will place salmon carcasses. You estimated that 25% of the total project cost will apply to instream habitat activities and one half of the instream improvements costs will apply to the carcass placement, you would report 50%.*

Riparian Habitat: *Projects above the ordinary high-water mark of the stream and within the floodplain of the stream.* Check all proposed activities.

<input type="checkbox"/> Riparian planting	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-native/noxious plant control
<input type="checkbox"/> Riparian exclusion fencing	<input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation management (e.g. prescribed burnings, stand thinning, stand conversions, silviculture)
<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock exclusion by means other than fencing (includes placing obstacles to exclude livestock, people, vehicles, etc., but not for individual plant protection)	<input type="checkbox"/> Debris/structure removal (OWEB funds cannot be used for general trash removal)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water gap development (fenced livestock crossing or livestock bridge)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____ <i>Do not report livestock water developments here, report livestock water developments under upland habitat treatments.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> Conservation grazing management (e.g., rotation grazing)	

- _____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to riparian habitat activities
- _____ ac. Estimate the acres of riparian habitat to be planted (to nearest 0.1 acres)
- _____ ac. Estimate the acres of riparian habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious weeds (to nearest 0.1 acres)
- _____ ac. Estimate the total riparian acres to be treated. (to nearest 0.1 acres)
- _____ mi. Estimate the miles of riparian streambank to be treated (to nearest 0.01 mi). Stream sides treated one two

Upland Habitat: *Projects implemented above the floodplain. Check all proposed activities.*

<input type="checkbox"/> Erosion control structures (e.g., sediment collection basins, WASCOBs)	<input type="checkbox"/> Upland Agriculture Management – (e.g., no/low-till, wind breaks, and irrigation improvements)
<input type="checkbox"/> Planting/seeding for erosion control (e.g., convert from crops to native vegetation, plant area where non-native/noxious weeds removed, grassed waterways, windbreaks, filter strips) List scientific names of plants _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock Manure Management (e.g., feedlot improvements to reduce runoff, relocate/improve manure holding structures and manure piles to reduce/eliminate drainage into streams)
<input type="checkbox"/> Slope stabilization (e.g., grade stabilization, landslide reparation, terracing slopes)	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock/Wildlife Water Developments
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-native/noxious plant control; List scientific names of plants: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Upland Livestock Management (other than livestock water developments), e.g., grazing plans, fencing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Juniper removal/control	<input type="checkbox"/> Restore Historic Upland Habitats (e.g. oak woodland, oak savannah, upland prairie restoration)
<input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation Management (other than non-native/noxious plant control or juniper removal, e.g. tree thinning, brush control, burning) List scientific names of plants: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail or Campground Improvements (to decrease upland erosion; these may extend into the riparian zone)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____

100 % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project will apply to upland habitat activities

_____ # Estimate the number of livestock/wildlife water developments

50 ac. Estimate the acres of upland habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious plants (to nearest 0.1 acres)

_____ ac. Estimate the total acres of upland habitat to be treated (do not include acres of upland habitat affected by livestock water developments (to nearest 0.1 acres)

_____ % Estimate the percentage of upland activity costs applied to Livestock Manure Management. If you do not select Livestock Manure Management as an upland activity, leave this value blank. *Example: Your project will relocate a feedlot to reduce livestock manure runoff. You estimated that 33% of the total project cost will apply to upland habitat activities and one half of the upland improvements costs will apply to the feedlot relocation, you would report 50%.*

Road Activities: *Projects designed to improve road impacts to watersheds. Check all proposed activities.*

<input type="checkbox"/> Road drainage system and surface improvements & reconstruction	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Road closure, relocation, obliteration (decommissioning)	

_____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to road activities

_____ mi. Estimate the miles of road treated (to nearest 0.01 mile)

Urban Impact Reduction: Check all of the urban impact related activities that will be used by this project:

<input type="checkbox"/> Toxin reduction: list names of each toxic species, element or material: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Bioswales
<input type="checkbox"/> Pesticide reduction: list names of each pesticide: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Detention Facility
<input type="checkbox"/> Stormwater/wastewater modification or treatment (includes rain gardens)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other urban impact reduction (explain): _____

Check all of the water quality limiting factors addressed by the Urban Impact Reduction activities selected above. Do not select limiting factors addressed by other types of restoration activities:

<input type="checkbox"/> Bacteria	<input type="checkbox"/> Pesticides	<input type="checkbox"/> Nutrients
<input type="checkbox"/> Dissolved Oxygen	<input type="checkbox"/> Toxics	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment
<input type="checkbox"/> Heavy Metals	<input type="checkbox"/> High Temperature	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____

_____% Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to urban impact activities

Wetland Habitat: *Projects designed to create or improve wetland areas.* Check all proposed activities.

<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland planting	<input type="checkbox"/> Artificial wetland area created from an area not formerly a wetland
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-native/noxious/invasive plant control	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland improvement/restoration of existing or historic wetland (other than vegetation planting or removal)	

_____% Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to wetland habitat activities

____ ac. Estimate the acres of wetland habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious/invasive plants (to nearest 0.1 acres)

____ ac. Estimate the acres of artificial wetland created (to nearest 0.1 acres)

____ ac. Estimate the total acres of wetland habitat (existing or historic) treated (to nearest 0.1 acres)

Estuarine Habitat: *Projects that result in improvement or increase in the availability of estuarine habitat.*

Check all proposed activities.

<input type="checkbox"/> Channel modification/creation (e.g., improve intertidal flow to existing estuarine habitat)	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-native/noxious plant control
<input type="checkbox"/> Dike or berm modification/removal	<input type="checkbox"/> Creation of new estuarine habitat where one did not exist previously by methods other than tidegates or dikes
<input type="checkbox"/> Removal of existing fill material	<input type="checkbox"/> Estuarine culvert modification / removal
<input type="checkbox"/> Placement of fill material (for proper terrestrial function)	<input type="checkbox"/> Exclusion devices (commonly includes fencing, installation of mooring buoys, boardwalks/trails, etc. to keep public/animals away)
<input type="checkbox"/> Estuarine planting	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain): _____

_____% Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to estuarine habitat activities

____ ac. Estimate the acres of estuarine habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious plants (to nearest 0.1 acres)

____ ac. Estimate the total acres of estuarine habitat (existing or historic) to be treated (to nearest 0.1 acres)

Section 3 - Salmon/Steelhead Populations Targeted and Expected Benefits to Salmon/Steelhead

The information provided will be used by OWEB to better meet federal and state reporting requirements. Completion of this section is required but will not be used to evaluate this application for funding.

This project is NOT specifically designed to benefit salmon or steelhead.

► If you check this box, STOP here.

Targeted Salmon/Steelhead Populations: Select one or more of the salmon ESUs (Evolutionary Significant Unit) or steelhead DPSs (Distinct Population Segment) that the project will address/benefit. For species where the ESU/DPS name is not known or determined, use the species name with unidentified ESU (e.g., Chinook salmon – unidentified ESU). Additional information on the designation and location of the salmon/steelhead populations can be found at: http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/maps_data/species_population_boundaries.html

Chinook Salmon (<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>)		Coho Salmon (<i>O. kisutch</i>)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Deschutes River summer/fall-run ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lower Columbia River ESU
<input type="checkbox"/>	Lower Columbia River ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Oregon Coast ESU
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mid-Columbia River spring-run ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Southern Oregon/Northern California ESU
<input type="checkbox"/>	Oregon Coast ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	unidentified ESU
<input type="checkbox"/>	Snake River Fall-run ESU	Steelhead (<i>O. mykiss</i>)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Snake River Spring/Summer-run ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Klamath Mountains Province DPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Southern Oregon and Northern California Coastal ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lower Columbia River DPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Upper Klamath-Trinity Rivers ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Middle Columbia River DPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Upper Willamette River ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Oregon Coast DPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	unidentified ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Snake River Basin DPS
Chum Salmon (<i>O. keta</i>)		<input type="checkbox"/>	Washington Coast DPS (SW Washington)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Columbia River ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Upper Willamette River DPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pacific Coast ESU	<input type="checkbox"/>	Steelhead/Trout unidentified DPS
<input type="checkbox"/>	unidentified ESU		

Expected Benefits: Write a brief description of the goals and purpose of the project and how it is expected to benefit salmon/steelhead or salmon/steelhead habitat. **See Application Instructions for helpful examples.**