

Small Grant Program Application

Application 2017-2019

Application Processing I	nformation (to be
completed by the Small G	rant Team Contact):
Application #:	
Date Received:	
Date Acted On:	
Recommended	Denied
SGT Contact	
Signature:	

I. General Information

OWEB Funds Requested (round to nearest dollar) \$7,843

Total Project Cost \$ 11,611

____ Multiple sites

Name of Project (five words or fewer) Wildhorse Creek Beaver Support Structures

Project Location (if more than one, include location/landowner information on each map)

This project occurs at (check one): \underline{x} A single site

Wildhorse Watershed, a tributary of the Umatilla River

<u>Umatilla County</u>

<u>3N34E8, 3N34E17</u>

<u>45.749096, -118.583721</u>

Wildhorse, 1707010303

Wildhorse Creek

 1. Have you previously submitted an application to OWEB, either through the regular or small grant program, for this project, or one similar to it on the same property? ____Yes Grant #_____ Xeo

 If yes, explain _____

2. Does this application propose a grant for a property in which OWEB previously invested funds for purchase of fee title or a conservation easement; or is OWEB currently considering an acquisition grant for this property?
Yes Grant #_____ X No

If yes, explain _____

II. Contact Information

Applicant Org.: Umatilla SWCD	Tax ID: 93-0708539	Contact: Kyle Waggoner	
Mailing Address: 1 SW Nye Ave Ste 130, Pendleton, Oregon		Zip: 97801	
Phone: 541-278-8049 ext. 138	Email: umcoswcd@eotnet.net		

Landowner(s): Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation		
Landowner Address: 46411 Timine Way, Pendleton, Oregon Zip: 97801		Zip: 97801
Phone: 541-276-3165 Email: ethangreen@ctuir.org		

Project Manager for the Grantee: Kyle Waggoner		
Project Manager Address: 1 SW Nye Ave Ste 130, Pendleton, Oregon Zip: 97801		Zip: 97801
Phone: 541-278-8049 ext. 138	Email: umcoswcd@eotnet.net	

Fiscal Agent Org.: Umatilla SWCD	Tax ID: 93-0708539	Contact: Kyle Wc	iggoner
Fiscal Agent Address: 1 SW Nye Ave Ste 130, Pendleton, OregonZip: 97801			Zip: 97801
Phone: 541-278-8049 ext. 138	Email: umcoswcd@eotnet.net		

Technical Contact: Ethan GreenPhone: 541-429-7263Email: ethangreen@ctuir.org

III. Project Information

Priority Watershed Concern: the project will address — Check One Only.

<u>x</u> Instream Process & Function _____ Riparian Process & Function _____ Urban Impact Reduction

____ Wetland Process & Function ____ Road Impact Reduction ____ Upland Process & Function

____ Fish Passage ____ Water Quantity & Quality/ Irrigation Efficiency

Small Grant Team Priority Project Type(s) addressed by the project (list specific eligible project type):

High Priority-Instream Process and Function: Instream Habitat, Channel Reconfiguration

1-a. Is the project consistent with the local watershed assessment or action plan?

<u>x</u> Yes Name primary assessment/plan <u>Umatilla/Willow Subbasin Plan</u> No

_____N/A—The watershed does not yet have an assessment or action plan

1-b. Is the project consistent with the local Agricultural Water Quality Management Area Plan?

<u>x</u> Yes ____ No

1-c. Is the project consistent with any developed plan for the property (e.g., local conservation or stewardship)?

____ Yes <u>x</u> No If yes, name the plan(s): _____

2. Describe the current watershed PROBLEM(s) you are seeking to address.

Our project seeks to address a multitude of problems common on the eastern side of Oregon; disconnected floodplain, downcutting erosion, and loss of habitat. At the project site, Wildhorse Creek is severly downcut and is almost completely disconnected from its traditional floodplain. Because of this, habitat for native flora and fauna has suffered, leaving invasive noxious weeds to come take over such as posion hemlock and thistle. A disconnected floodplain also causes decresed water storage and too simple channel morphology. Reconnecting the floodplain is essential to combat any of these problems.

3. Describe the SOLUTION(s) you are proposing to address the current problem(s). Attach a site

map, color photo(s), and (if applicable) preliminary project drawings or designs. <u>Our solution to combat the loss of floodplain connection, habitat, and increased downcutting is to install</u> <u>a series of beaver dam support stuctures, designed to work off each other in conjunction to raise the</u> water table and thereby reconnecting the floodplain, allowing the creek to restore itself. 6-8 structures will <u>be placed along a 5000 ft stretch, and native riparian vegetation will be planted once the structures are</u> <u>completed. Beaver support structures are an effective way to meet our goals. See the attached literature</u> <u>for reference on the effectiveness of these support structures.</u>

4. Insurance Information

If applicable, select all the activities that are part of your project (check all that apply). You will be required to submit the DAS Risk Assessment Tool for items 1-5:

1. Working with hazardous materials	(not including materials used in the normal operation of
equipment such as hydraulic fluid)	

	2. Eo	arth	moving	work	around	the	footprint	of c	a well
--	-------	------	--------	------	--------	-----	-----------	------	--------

3. Aerial application of chemicals

 \square 4. Transporting individuals on the water

5. Removal or alteration of structures that hold back water on land or instream including dams, levees, dikes, tidegates and other water control devices (this does not include temporary diversion dams used solely to divert water for irrigation)

6. Applicant's staff or volunteers are working with kids related to the project (DAS Risk assessment tool not required, additional insurance is required)

7. Applicant's staff are applying herbicides or pesticides (DAS Risk assessment tool not required, additional insurance *is* required

OWEB considers these projects to carry a greater risk to the organization, organization's employees, volunteers, and the community. If boxes 1-5 are checked above, the applicant must submit the DAS Risk Assessment, <u>http://www.oregon.gov/das/Risk/Pages/CntrctrInsReq.aspx</u>, with this application. Additional information regarding the insurance policy and requirements can be found here: <u>http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/GRANTS/docs/insurance/Insurance-Requirements.pdf</u>.

5. Technical Guidance Source (check at least one and identify the Practice Code, or page and paragraph).

NRCS Field Office Technical Guide Practice Code	Guide to Placing Large Wood in Streams Page # / Para
Oregon Road/Stream Crossing Restoration Guide Page # / Para	Forest Practices Tech Note #4 Page # / Para
Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Guidebook Page # / Para	Forest Practices Tech Note #5 Page # / Para
Urban Subwatershed Restoration Manual Page # / Para	<u>x</u> Tribal Natural Resource Plans and Water Plans (attach the relevant page or pages)

6. Maintenance and Post-Implementation Monitoring

a) Project maintenance is the responsibility of the landowner. What aspects of the project will be maintained? (See application instructions.)

Who will maintain?	What will be	How will it be	# of years
	maintained?	maintained?	# of times/year
CTUIR Natural Resources	Posts	Sight Verification, replacements	2

b) Post-implementation monitoring including photo points and visual inspection is required for small grants (Year-Two Status Report). What (if any) additional aspects of the project will be monitored post-implementation? (See application instructions)

Who will monitor?	What will be monitored?	Cite monitoring protocols	# of years # of times/year

7. Who will be responsible for writing the Year-Two Status Report?

Name: Kyle Waggoner

Mailing Address 1 SW Nye Ave Ste 130 Pendleton, Oregon		Zip 97801
Phone: 541-278-8049 ext. 138	Email: umcoswcd@eotnet.net	

- 8. Have the required permits been obtained for the project? ____Yes <u>x</u> No ____ Not Required If yes, what permits have been issued? (Attach copies) _____ If no, what permits must be obtained and by when? <u>Department of State Lands permit, before implementation.</u>
- 9. Is this project required as a condition of a local, state, or federal permit, order, or enforcement action (e.g., a manure storage and management project required by ODA permit)?

<u> Yes x</u> No

10. Project Partners. Show all anticipated funding sources, and indicate the dollar value for cash or in-kind contributions. Be sure to provide a dollar value for each funding source. If the funding source is providing in-kind contributions, briefly describe the nature of the contribution in the Funding Source Column. In the Amount/Value Column, provide a total dollar amount or value for each funding source.

Funding Source Name the partner and contribution	Cash	In-Kind	Amount/ Value
OWEB:	7,843.00		7,843.00
Landowner:		3,768.00	3,768.00
Total Estimated Funds (add all amounts in the fa	r right column)		\$11,611.00

The total should equal the total cost of the project on page 1

11. Project Budget (Word). Itemize projected costs for each budget category that apply to your project. A minimum of 25% match is required. See application instructions and additional team conditions for further guidance.

PLEASE NOTE: Budgets may be submitted in either Word or Excel formats. Forms can be found here: http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/GRANTS/smgrant_forms.shtml

Fill in the amounts, rounded to the nearest dollar; please do not include cents.

			esi donar, p	leuse <mark>uo no</mark>	
Expense Category	No. of Units	Unit Cost	OWEB Funds	Match Funds (In-Kind/Cash)	Description what will be purchased and by whom/who will perform the work.
					ees for whom payroll taxes are paid. List
position titles; include on	ly costs ot				
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		IBTOTAL (1)	\$0	\$0	d by non-staff for project implementatior
CONTRACTED SERVICES.		\$0	\$0	<u>110 be pi0vide</u> \$0	
		<u></u> \$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0	
		پ و \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u>	
	SI	IBTOTAL (2)	پې \$0	φ0 \$0	
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES					to the applicant, and are "used up" in
					plementation of this grant.
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
	SL	IBTOTAL (3)	\$0	\$0	
TRAVEL. Mileage. For cur	rent rates	go to: <u>http:/</u>	/www.oregor	n.gov/OWEB/Pa	ages/forms_linked.aspx#
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
	SL	IBTOTAL (4)	\$0	\$0	
OTHER. Land use signatur	re costs, p	roject permi	t costs, small e	equipment rep	air, commercial equipment rental.
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
		\$0	\$0	\$0	
	SL	IBTOTAL (5)	\$0	\$0	
MODIFIED TOTAL DIRECT COST (MTDC) (Add Subtotals 1-5)		\$0	\$0		
INDIRECT COSTS. Not to e less. See the current Bude http://www.oregon.gov/	exceed 10 get Categ OWEB/Pa	% of Modifie ories Definit ges/forms li	ions documer		Compute by multiplying MTDC by 0.10 c osts.
Indirect Costs		to exceed % of MTDC	\$0	\$0	
POST-GRANT					
Year-Two Status Report			\$0	\$0	(Not to exceed \$200)
Post-Project Plant Establis	shment		\$0	\$0	(Not to exceed \$1,000)
	PROJ	ECT TOTALS	\$0	\$0	(Not to exceed \$15,000 in OWEB funds)

We, the undersigned, attest that to the best of our knowledge the information contained in this application is true, that the proposed project is not required by a state or federal agency directive, and that the project will be completed within 24 months from the date of the team funding recommendation of the application. We understand that the submitted application is a matter of public record.

Also, should funding for this project be awarded we understand:

1) We may not incur any project expenses until all designated signatories have signed an OWEB grant agreement,

2) We will be required to provide proper accounting of project expenses, and

3) We will be required to provide necessary and normal maintenance to sustain the value of the project once it is completed.

By their signatures, the **landowner(s)** attest that they have no plans to sell their property as of the date of this application, are authorized to sign as landowner, and they agree to provide, upon prior request and at a mutually acceptable time, site access to the applicant or representatives of OWEB for a period up to two years following project completion to allow project work to be implemented, monitored, and maintained.

		Attachment Checklist		
Applicant	Date	Project location map (Required)		
		Color photographs of site (Required)		
Landowner	Date	 Site drawings/diagrams (if applicable) 		
Editadownei	Duic	Juniper Checklist (if applicable)		
Fiscal Agent	Date	Cooperative agreement, if 2 or more landowners (Optional) May be submitted in lieu of ALL Landowner signatures on Application ALL Landowners must sign the Grant Agreement		
		Racial and Ethnic Impact Statement (Required)		
		Restoration Metrics form (Required)		
		Other materials (as required by team)		
		Optional Forms At Application Stage		
		(Required at the time of Request for Release of Funds, see instructions)		
		Irrigation Efficiency		
		Culvert/Stream Crossing		
		Secured Match		
		Land Use		



Racial and Ethnic Impact Statement This form is used for information purposes only and must be included with the grant application.

Chapter 600 of the 2013 Oregon Laws require applicants to include with each grant application a racial and ethnic impact statement. The statement provides information as to the disproportionate or unique impact the proposed policies or programs may have on minority persons¹ in the State of Oregon if the grant is awarded to a corporation or other legal entity other than natural persons.

1.
The proposed grant project policies or programs could have a disproportionate or unique **positive** impact on the following minority persons:

Indicate all that apply:

Women

Persons with Disabilities

- African-Americans
- Hispanics
- Asians or Pacific Islanders
- American Indians
- Alaskan Natives
- 2.
 The proposed grant project policies or programs could have a disproportionate or unique **negative** impact on the following minority persons:

Indicate all that apply: Women Persons with Disabilities African-Americans Hispanics Asians or Pacific Islanders American Indians Alaskan Natives

3.
The proposed grant project policies or programs will have no disproportionate or unique impact on minority persons.

If you checked numbers 1 or 2 above, on a separate sheet of paper, provide the rationale for the existence of policies or programs having a disproportionate or unique impact on minority persons in this state. Further provide evidence of consultation with representative(s) of the affected minority persons. I HEREBY CERTIFY on this day of , 20 , the information contained on this form and any attachment is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

> Signature Printed Name:Kyle Waggoner Title:District Manager

¹ "Minority persons" are defined in SB 463 (2013 Regular Session) as women, persons with disabilities (as defined in ORS 174.107), African-Americans, Hispanics, Asians or Pacific Islanders, American Indians and Alaskan Natives.



Restoration Metrics Form

OWEB receives a portion of its funds from the federal government and **is required to report** how its grantees have used both federal and state funds. The information you provide in the following form will be used for federal and state reporting purposes.

Please complete all portions of the form below as they apply to your project and submit all pages (do not exclude any pages). Please provide specific values, do not enter values like "2-3" or "<100". Enter your best approximation of what the project will accomplish.

If you have any questions, please contact Cecilia Noyes, OWEB Federal Reporting Coordinator, at 503-986-0204 (<u>cecilia.noyes@state.or.us</u>) or Ginger Lofftus, OWEB PCSRF Reporting Assistant, at 503-986-5372 (<u>ginger.lofftus@state.or.us</u>)

Section 1. Project Overview

Answer all five questions below, even if you have answered a similar question in a previous section in the grant application.

1. Land Use Setting: CHECK ONE BOX ONLY.

Urban/Suburban/Exurban (Projects located within	Rural (Projects located outside urban growth
urban growth boundaries or rural residential	boundaries or rural residential areas.)
areas)	

2. Dominant Watershed Setting: CHECK ONE BOX ONLY. Example: Your project involves managing erosion in the upland area with some erosion control extended to the riparian area. Because most of the work is to occur in the upland area, you would check <u>only</u> the Upland box below.

Estuary (where freshwater meets and mixes with saltwater of ocean tides.)	Riparian (adjacent to a water body, within the active floodplain.)			
Instream (below the ordinary high-water mark or within the active channel — includes fish passage.)	 Upland (above the floodplain.) Groundwater (Projects that recharge groundwater or primarily affect the subsurface water table.) 			
Wetland (areas inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration				

sufficient to support a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

3. Total Acres Treated: <u>80</u> Total Stream Miles Treated: <u>1.2</u>

(do not include upstream stream miles made accessible to fish with passage improvements)

4. Project Monitoring: All OWEB funded restoration projects require post-implementation status reporting including photo point monitoring. Please indicate below: 1) the location of the monitoring activities relative to the project, including photo point locations, 2) whether effectiveness monitoring is planned, and 3) whether additional monitoring will be conducted for this project.

4.1) Identify the location for the planned monitoring activities relative to the restoration project location. Check as many boxes as apply.

Onsite Downstream Upstream Upst	ope
---------------------------------	-----

4.2) Effectiveness monitoring will be conducted for this project. Please note that effectiveness monitoring cannot be funded with OWEB Small Grant Funds. To review effectiveness monitoring and post-implementation status reporting definitions click on the link to the OWEB Web site below. http://www.oregon.gov/OWEB/MONITOR/effective monitoring.shtml **4.3)** Will this project conduct monitoring activities beyond the required post-implementation status reporting and photo point monitoring?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No If you answer yes, select the monitoring activities below, if you answer no proceed to Section 2.

Check all proposed monitoring activities

Adult Fish presence/absence/abundance/distribution survey(s)	Spawning surveys
🔲 Juvenile Fish	Upland vegetation
presence/absence/abundance/distribution survey(s)	(Presence/Absence)
Instream Habitat surveys	🗌 Water quality
Macroinvertebrates	🗌 Water quantity
Noxious weed (Presence/Absence)	Photo Points
Riparian vegetation (Presence/Absence)	Other (explain):

Section 2. Project Activities

Provide values for each Project Activity applicable to your application. Leave blank any Project Activity or metric line that is not appropriate to your application. All data entered in this form should be what you plan to do with the project. Data about completed projects will be reported at the end of the project to the Oregon Watershed Restoration Inventory (OWRI). For each activity type where you enter metrics, estimate the percentage of the total cost of the project (OWEB and all other funding sources, shown in III. 9.of this application) that applies to the activity. The sum of all of the activity cost percentages should equal 100%. Please distribute all administrative, project management and other general project costs among the various project activities when estimating percentages.

Example: A project will remove a fish passage barrier, place large boulders instream, and plant a riparian buffer. You would enter the appropriate metrics into the Fish Passage, Instream Habitat, and Riparian Habitat activity sections of this form. Then, estimate the percentage of the total cost of the project for each activity. For instance: 20% towards Fish Passage activities, 25% towards Instream Habitat activities, and 55% towards Riparian Habitat activities.

Fish Screening Projects: Projects that result in the installation or improvement of screening systems that prevent fish from passing into areas that do not support fish survival, for example, into irrigation diversion channels.

Note: OWEB funds cannot be used for fish screening projects

______% Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to fish screening activities

New Fish Screens Installed

_____# Estimate the number of **new** screens installed (do not count diversions where existing screens are replaced)

______cfs Estimate the cubic feet per second of flow influenced by **new** screen(s) installed (to nearest 0.01 cfs)

Existing Screens Replaced, repaired or modified

- # Estimate the number of **existing** screens replaced, repaired or modified
- _____cfs Estimate the cubic feet per second of flow influenced by **existing** screen(s) screens (to nearest 0.01 cfs)

Fish Passage Improvement: Projects that improve fish migration by addressing a migration barrier problem. Complete sections A-E as they apply to the proposed project. For projects that improve fish passage at road crossings complete both sections A (define the problem) and B (define the treatment). Non-road crossing improvements are reported in sections C and D. Section E should be completed for all fish passage improvement projects. Refer to the application instructions for additional information and examples.

A. Road Crossings – Define Existing Fish Passage Problem

1. Culverts hindering fish passage	# crossings	
2. Bridges hindering fish passage	# crossings	
3. Fords hindering fish passage	# crossings	

B. Road Crossings - Define the Fish Passage Improvements to be implemented by this project

1. Culverts installed/improved -Improvements include installing baffles inside culverts or installing/improving engineered bypasses (e.g. weirs) directly below a culvert outlet to improve passage.	# crossings	str. mi with improved access*
2. Bridge s installed/improved -Improvements include installing/improving engineered bypasses (e.g. weirs) directly below a bridge crossing to improve passage.	# crossings	str. mi with improved access*
3. Fords installed/improved	# crossings	str. mi with improved access*
4. Road Crossings removed and <u>not</u> replaced	# crossings	str. mi with improved access*

*Estimate stream miles in the main channel and tributaries made more accessible above the crossing(s) (to nearest 0.01 mile). If a barrier exists upstream, report the length made accessible up to that next upstream barrier.

C. Fish Passage Barriers – Other than Road Crossings

1. Type(s) of barriers to be treated/removed to improve fish	Diversion Dam
passage.	Push-up Dam
	Wood or Concrete Dam
	Weir (not associated with a road crossing)
	Debris
	Boulder/Rock Barrier (not weirs)
	🗌 Landslide
	Other (explain)
2# Estimate the total number of non-road crossing barriers (listed a	above) to be removed or altered to improve
passage	

D. Fish Ladders or Engineered Bypasses (not associated with Road Crossings)

1. Fish ladders will be installed/improved	# fish ladders to be installed/improved
2. Engineered bypasses will be installed/improved. This includes weirs, rock boulder step pools, and chutes constructed/roughened in bed rock. Do not count engineered bypasses located at a road crossing to improve passage at the crossing. These types of improvements should be identified above in section B as a Road Crossing Fish Passage Improvement.	# engineered bypasses to be installed/improved

E. Fish Passage Summary Metrics

- 1._____% Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to fish passage improvements
- 2. <u>mi</u> Estimate the total stream miles that will be made more accessible in the main channel and tributaries above the project (to nearest 0.01 mile). This metric summarizes the stream miles for all of the proposed passage improvements (defined above in Sections A-D). If a barrier exists upstream of the project, report the length made accessible up to that next upstream barrier.

3. ____# Estimate the total number of barriers (this includes road crossings, diversion dams, push up dams, wood or concrete dams, weirs, etc.) to be removed or altered to improve passage.

Instream Flow: Projects that maintain and/or increase the instream flow of water. Irrigation improvements that are primarily designed to improve water quality should be reported under Upland – Agriculture Management. **Check all proposed activities.**

Irrigation practice improved to increase instream flows (e.g. install diversion headgate, replace open ditches with pipes)	Water flow gauges installed to measure water use
This project will dedicate instream flow.	Other (explain):

_ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to instream flow activities

____ mi. Estimate the miles of stream where increased flow is the result of decreased/eliminated water withdrawals

_____ cfs Estimate the increase in flow of water in the stream as a result of conservation effort (cubic feet per second)

_____ mm/dd/yyyy Initial start date of irrigation practice improvement

_____ mm/dd/yyyy Final end date of irrigation practice improvement (if improvement is permanent enter 12/31/9999)

_____ mm/dd/yyyy Water lease/agreement initial start date of no withdrawal

	_mm/dd/yyyy V	Nater lease/a	greement find	al end date c	of no withdr	awal (if lease/	agreement	is perman	ient,
ente	r 12/31/9999)		-				-		

Instream Habitat: Projects that are designed to improve instream habitat conditions. **Check all proposed** activities.

Channel reconfiguration and connectivity (e.g., creating instream pools, meanders, improving floodplain connectivity, off-channel habitat, removal or alteration of levee or berm, removal of sediment)	Spawning gravel placement
Channel structure - large wood placement	Plant Removal/control (instream) List scientific names of plants
Channel structure - boulder placement	 Carcass or nutrient placement: salmonid carcass; fish meal brick;
Channel structure placement (<u>other</u> than large wood or boulder placements), e.g., engineered structures or deflectors, barbs, weirs, etc.	Other (explain):
Streambank stabilization through resloping and/or placing rocks, logs (e.g. revetments, gabions, barbs), or bioengineering on streambank	

14 % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to instream habitat activities

<u>1.2 mi</u>. Estimate the miles of stream to be treated with instream habitat treatments (to nearest 0.01 mile)

_____% Estimate the percentage of insteam activity costs for carcass or nutrient placements. If you do not select carcass/nutrient placements as an instream activity, leave this value blank. Example: Your project will place salmon carcasses. You estimated that 25% of the total project cost will apply to instream habitat activities and one half of the instream improvements costs will apply to the carcass placement, you would report 50%.

Riparian Habitat: Projects above the ordinary high-water mark of the stream and within the floodplain of the stream. **Check all proposed activities.**

🛛 Riparian planting	Non-native/noxious plant control
Riparian exclusion fencing	Vegetation management (e.g. prescribed burnings, stand thinning, stand conversions, silviculture)
Livestock exclusion by means other than fencing (includes placing obstacles to exclude livestock, people, vehicles, etc., but not for individual plant protection)	Debris/structure removal (OWEB funds cannot be used for general trash removal)
Water gap development (fenced livestock crossing or livestock bridge)	Other (explain): Do not report livestock water developments here, report livestock water developments under upland habitat treatments.

86 % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to riparian habitat activities

10 ac. Estimate the acres of riparian habitat to be planted (to nearest 0.1 acres)

<u>0</u> ac. Estimate the acres of riparian habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious weeds (to nearest 0.1 acres)

32 ac. Estimate the total riparian acres to be treated. (to nearest 0.1 acres)

<u>1.2</u> mi. Estimate the miles of riparian streambank to be treated (to nearest 0.01 mi).

Stream sides treated one two (Do not double count miles if a second side is treated)

Upland Habitat: Projects implemented above the floodplain. **Check all proposed activities.**

Planting/seeding for erosion control (e.g., convert from crops to native vegetation, plant area where non-native/noxious weeds removed, grassed waterways, windbreaks, filter strips)	Livestock Manure Management (e.g., feedlot improvements to reduce runoff, relocate/improve manure holding structures and manure piles to reduce/eliminate drainage into streams)
List scientific names of plants	
Slope stabilization (e.g., grade stabilization, landslide reparation, terracing slopes)	Upland Livestock Management (<u>other</u> than livestock water developments), e.g., grazing plans, fencing
Non-native/noxious plant control;	Restore Historic Upland Habitats (e.g. oak
List scientific names of plants:	woodland, oak savannah, upland prairie restoration)
Juniper removal/control	Livestock/Wildlife Water Developments
Vegetation Management (<u>other</u> than non- native/noxious plant control or juniper removal, e.g. tree thinning, brush control, burning)	Erosion control structures not already reported under Upland Agriculture Management or Road Drainage System and Surface Improvements.
List scientific names of plants:	
Upland Agriculture Management (e.g., no/low- till, wind breaks, filter strips, crop rotation, terracing, water and sediment control basins, grade stabilization and irrigation improvements)	Other (explain):

_____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project will apply to upland habitat activities

Estimate the number of livestock/wildlife water developments

____ac. Estimate the acres of upland habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious plants (to nearest 0.1 acres)

- ac.Estimate the total acres of upland habitat to be treated (do not include acres of upland habitat affected by livestock water developments (to nearest 0.1 acres)
- S Estimate the percentage of upland activity costs applied to Livestock Manure Management. If you do not select Livestock Manure Management as an upland activity, leave this value blank. Example: Project will relocate a feedlot to reduce livestock manure runoff. You estimated that 33% of the total project cost will apply to upland habitat activities and one half of the upland improvements costs will apply to the feedlot relocation, you would report 50%.

Road Activities: Projects designed to improve road impacts to watersheds. Check all proposed activities.

Road drainage system and surface improvements & reconstruction	Other (explain):
Road closure, relocation, obliteration (decommissioning)	

____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to road activities

____ mi. Estimate the miles of road treated (to nearest 0.01 mile)

Urban Impact Reduction: Check all of the urban impact related activities that will be used by this project.

Toxin reduction: list names of each toxic species, element or material:	Bioswales
Pesticide reduction: list names of each pesticide:	Detention Facility
Stormwater/wastewater modification or treatment (includes rain gardens)	Other urban impact reduction (explain):

Check all of the water quality limiting factors addressed by the Urban Impact Reduction activities selected above. Do not select limiting factors addressed by other types of restoration activities.

🗌 Bacteria	Pesticides	□ Nutrients
Dissolved Oxygen		Sediment
Heavy Metals	High Temperature	Other (explain):

<u>%</u> Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to urban impact activities

Wetland Habitat: Projects designed to create or improve wetland areas. Check all proposed activities.

Wetland planting	Artificial wetland area created from an area not formerly a wetland
Non-native/noxious/invasive plant control	Other (explain):
Wetland improvement/restoration of existing or historic wetland (other than vegetation planting or removal)	

_____% Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to wetland habitat activities

- ac.Estimate the acres of wetland habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious/invasive plants (to nearest 0.1 acres)
- _____ ac.Estimate the acres of artificial wetland created (to nearest 0.1 acres)
- _____ ac.Estimate the total acres of wetland habitat (existing or historic) treated (to nearest 0.1 acres)

Estuarine Habitat: Projects that result in improvement or increase in the availability of estuarine habitat. Check all proposed activities.

Estuarine planting	Non-native/noxious plant control
Channel modification/creation (e.g., improve intertidal flow to existing estuarine habitat)	Creation of new estuarine habitat where one did not exist previously by methods other than tidegates or dikes
Dike or berm modification/removal	Estuarine culvert modification/removal
Removal of existing fill material	Exclusion devices
Placement of fill material (for proper terrestrial function)	Other (explain):

_____ % Estimate the percentage of total cost of the project applied to estuarine habitat activities

_____ac.Estimate the acres of estuarine habitat to be treated for non-native/noxious plants (to nearest 0.1 acres)

_____ ac.Estimate the total acres of estuarine habitat (existing or historic) to be treated (to nearest 0.1 acres)

Section 3. Salmon/Steelhead Populations Targeted and Expected Benefits to Salmon/Steelhead

The information provided will be used by OWEB better to meet federal and state reporting requirements. Completion of this section is required but will not be used to evaluate this application for funding.

This project is NOT specifically designed to benefit salmon or steelhead. If you check this box, STOP here.

Targeted Salmon/Steelhead Populations: Select one or more of the salmon ESUs (Evolutionary Significant Unit) or steelhead DPSs (Distinct Population Segment) that the project will address/benefit. For species where the ESU/DPS name is not known or determined, use the species name with unidentified ESU (e.g., Chinook salmon – unidentified ESU). Additional information on the designation and location of the salmon/steelhead populations can be found at: http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/maps_data/species_population_boundaries.html

Chino	ook Salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha)	Co	ho Salmon (O. kisutch)
	Deschutes River summer/fall-run ESU		Lower Columbia River ESU
	Lower Columbia River ESU		Oregon Coast ESU
\square	Mid-Columbia River spring-run ESU		Southern Oregon/Northern
			California ESU
	Oregon Coast ESU		unidentified ESU
	Snake River Fall-run ESU	Ste	elhead (O. mykiss)
	Snake River Spring/Summer-run ESU		Klamath Mountains Province DPS
	Southern Oregon and Northern California		Lower Columbia River DPS
	Coastal ESU		
	Upper Klamath-Trinity Rivers ESU	\square	Middle Columbia River DPS
	Upper Willamette River ESU		Oregon Coast DPS
	unidentified ESU		Snake River Basin DPS
Chun	1 Salmon (O. keta)		Washington Coast DPS (SW
			Washington)
	Columbia River ESU		Upper Willamette River DPS
	Pacific Coast ESU		Steelhead/Trout unidentified DPS
	unidentified ESU		

Expected Benefits: Write a brief description of the goals and purpose of the project and how it is expected to benefit salmon/steelhead or salmon/steelhead habitat. **See Application Instructions for helpful examples.**

By reconnecting the floodplain and improving habitat, this project will increase both water and resources for steelhead and spring Chinook.

10. Project Budget- Itemize projected costs for each of the following "Expense Categories" that apply to your project. A minimum of 25% match is required. See application instructions and additional team conditions for further guidance.


Tota	als automati	cally round t	o the nearest	dollar. Pleas	se do not include cents.
Expense Category	No. of Units	Unit Cost	OWEB Funds	Match Funds	Description <i>what will be purchased or done and who will provide the item/perform the work</i>
				loyees for wh	nom payroll taxes are paid. List position titles;
include only costs of employ					Kulo Magganar
District Manager	20	35.00	700		Kyle Waggoner
		SUBTOTAL (1)	700	0	
CONTRACTED SERVICES abor				vided by non	I I-staff for project implementation.
CTUIR Biologist Labor	90 Supplies, me		-		See Quote
CTUIR Technician Labor	90	\$14.11			See Quote
	90	Ş14.11		1,270	
	1 1	SUBTOTAL (2)	0	3,768	
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES. Refe					applicant, and are "used up" in the course of
the project. Costs to OWEB		•	•		•••
Untreated Posts	40	25.00			
Native Riparian Vegetation	1	6,000.00	6,000		See attached quote
		SUBTOTAL (3)	7,000	0	
EQUIPMENT. Refers to items of	over \$1,000 v	with a usual li	fespan of ove	r 2 years. Pu	rchase of equipment is discouraged in Small
		SUBTOTAL (4)	0	0	
TRAVEL. Mileage. For currnet	rates go to:	http://www.or	egon.gov/OW	/EB/Pages/fo	rms_linked.aspx#
Site Visits	80	0.535	43		Rate per mile
		SUBTOTAL (5)	43	0	
OTHER. Land use signature c	osts, project	permit costs,	small equipn	nent repair, co	ommercial equipment rental.
Land Use	1	25.00	25		To be purchased by Umatilla County SWCD
		SUBTOTAL (6)	25	0	
Modified Total Direct Cost (M					
•	TDC)	(Add			
	-	Subtotals 1-6)	7,768		
INDIRECT COSTS:Not to exceed	10% of Mod	Subtotals 1-6) dified Total Di	rect Costs (N	TDC). Comp	ute by multiplying MTDC by 0.10 or less. See
INDIRECT COSTS:Not to exceed	10% of Mod	Subtotals 1-6) dified Total Di	rect Costs (N	TDC). Comp	
INDIRECT COSTS:Not to exceed the current Budget Categorie	10% of Mod	Subtotals 1-6) dified Total Di	rect Costs (N	TDC). Comp	ute by multiplying MTDC by 0.10 or less. See v.oregon.gov/OWEB/Pages/forms_linked.aspx# not to exceed 10% of MTDC, however, grants of \$2,000
INDIRECT COSTS:Not to exceed the current Budget Categoric Indirect Costs	10% of Mod	Subtotals 1-6) dified Total Di	rect Costs (N	TDC). Comp	ute by multiplying MTDC by 0.10 or less. See v.oregon.gov/OWEB/Pages/forms_linked.aspx#
INDIRECT COSTS:Not to exceed the current Budget Categorie	10% of Mod	Subtotals 1-6) dified Total Di	rect Costs (N	TDC). Comp	ute by multiplying MTDC by 0.10 or less. See v.oregon.gov/OWEB/Pages/forms_linked.aspx# not to exceed 10% of MTDC, however, grants of \$2,000
INDIRECT COSTS:Not to exceed the current Budget Categoric Indirect Costs	10% of Mod	Subtotals 1-6) dified Total Di	rect Costs (N	TDC). Comp	ute by multiplying MTDC by 0.10 or less. See v.oregon.gov/OWEB/Pages/forms_linked.aspx# not to exceed 10% of MTDC, however, grants of \$2,000
INDIRECT COSTS:Not to exceed the current Budget Categorie Indirect Costs POST GRANT	10% of Mod	Subtotals 1-6) dified Total Di	rect Costs (N or eligible cos	TDC). Comp	ute by multiplying MTDC by 0.10 or less. See v.oregon.gov/OWEB/Pages/forms_linked.aspx# not to exceed 10% of MTDC, however, grants of \$2,000 or less may request up to \$200


Item	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
Biologist II Salary	37.5 Hours		\$27.75	\$1,040.63	
Technician I Salary	75 Hours		\$14.11	\$1,058.25	
Design and Reporting					
Biologist II Salary	45 Hours		\$27.75	\$1,248.75	
	Construc	tion Staking	5		
Biologist II Salary	7.5 Hours		\$27.75	\$208.13	
Technician I Salary	15 Hours		\$14.11	\$211.65	
	\$3,767.40				

Beaver Support Structure Project

District: UMATILLA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT Approximate Acres: Legal Description:

Assisted By: Kyle Waggoner State and County: OR, Umatilla County, Oregon








Beaver Support Structure Project

District: UMATILLA SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT Approximate Acres: Legal Description:

Assisted By: Kyle Waggoner State and County: OR, Umatilla County, Oregon









At upstream end of reach looking downstream



At upstream end of reach looking upstream



At furthest upstream bend of reach looking downstream



At bend near barn looking downstream



Slightly downstream of bend at barn looking downstream



At bend near downstream end of reach looking upstream



Near downstream end of reach looking toward left bank



At downstream end of reach looking downstream